

Neuroepidemiology 2004;23:1–12 DOI: 10.1159/000073969

A Systematic Review of Several Potential Non-Genetic Risk Factors for Multiple Sclerosis

H. Coo K.J. Aronson

Department of Community Health and Epidemiology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., Canada

Key Words

Multiple sclerosis \cdot Review \cdot Sunlight \cdot Sex hormones \cdot Dietary fats

Abstract

We reviewed the literature published in the English language to determine the weight of evidence for several potential non-genetic risk factors for multiple sclerosis, including solar ultraviolet radiation (UVR), sex hormones and dietary fat/fatty acids. We ranked the plausibility of each factor and graded the methodological rigour of case-control and cohort studies to determine whether there was a sufficient number of high-quality studies to weigh the evidence. Based on our criteria, the plausibility for solar UVR and sex hormones is good and fair for dietary fat/fatty acids. However, the body of epidemiologic evidence is insufficient for these three sets of risk factors. We did not find a sufficient number of methodologically rigorous studies to weigh the evidence for any of the potential risk factors we examined.

Copyright © 2004 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

The first systematic studies of multiple sclerosis (MS) in populations were conducted in the early decades of the 20th century, but information on the epidemiology of MS did not increase substantially until the 1950s. At that time, epidemiologists began to use surveys to explore aetiological hypotheses related to environmental factors such as residence, climate and soil conditions [1]. Despite decades of epidemiologic, clinical and laboratory research, however, the causes of MS remain unknown. Although it seems clear that there is a genetic component, the fairly low concordance rate among identical twins indicates that non-genetic factors play a strong role in influencing risk [2].

We conducted a review of the literature to rank the plausibility, body of epidemiologic evidence and weight of evidence for several potential non-genetic risk factors for MS, using the criteria described in table 1 and in Methods. We also describe some issues related to measuring exposure to each potential risk factor. Our goal was to provide an overview of the most plausible hypotheses that can be tested in a precise manner, since we believe that these should be given priority for research.

Tel. +1 613 533 6000, ext. 74953, Fax +1 613 533 6686 E-Mail cooh@post.queensu.ca or aronson@post.queensu.ca

Methods

Plausibility

Potential risk factors should be reconcilable with at least some aspects of the epidemiology of MS. For the purposes of this paper, we considered the primary epidemiologic features of MS to be: (1) MS affects more females than males, in a ratio approaching 2:1 [3, 4]; (2) in general, the prevalence and incidence of MS increase with latitude [5, 6], although exceptions to this latitude gradient exist [7–9]; (3) migration studies suggest that individuals who move from an area where the risk of MS is high to an area where the risk is lower show a decrease in risk. Although it is difficult to establish a critical age at which migration affects risk since few studies have collected information on age at migration, it does appear that the younger the age the

greater the reduction in risk. In contrast, individuals who move from an area of lower risk to an area of higher risk appear to demonstrate little change in risk [10], and (4) the risk of MS onset peaks at age 30, with initial symptoms in people younger than age 10 or older than age 50 accounting for less than 5% of cases [11].

Support for a cause-and-effect relationship is strengthened if there is a known or postulated biologic mechanism through which an exposure could affect the risk of disease [12]. However, as it is beyond the scope of this paper to comprehensively review experimental evidence, we have chosen to include examples of experimental studies that support a *potential* aetiologic contribution, without assessing the quality or range of evidence to determine whether it is a *probable* aetiologic contribution. The ranking of plausibility is described in table 1.

Table 1. Ranking system for plausibility, body of epidemiologic evidence and weight of evidence for several potential non-genetic risk factors for MS

	Ranking criteria used	Ranking system
Plausibility	 Does the factor conform to one or more epidemiologic features of MS¹? Has a biologic mechanism been postulated by which the factor may affect the risk of MS, with supporting experimental evidence? Does experimental evidence support a role for this factor in the onset of animal models of MS? 	Good Conforms to at least one epidemiologic feature of MS AND biologic mechanism has been postulated for which experimental evidence exists AND experimental evidence exists for role in onset of animal models of MS Fair Meets two of the above criteria but not all three; OR meets one of the above criteria AND experimental evidence exists for role in affecting disease activity in MS or animal models of MS
		Poor None of the above
Body of epidemiologic evidence	Is the number of methodologically rigourous published studies sufficient to examine the weight	Good Three or more case-control or cohort studies graded as 'a'2
	of evidence?	Fair Two case-control or cohort studies graded as 'a' ²
		Insufficient None of the above
Weight of evidence	If the body of epidemiologic evidence is good, does the evidence support an association	Good support Good or fair plausibility AND good consistency ³
	with MS?	Fair support Good or fair plausibility AND fair consistency ⁴ ; OR poor plausibility BUT good consistency ³
		No clear support None of the above
		Not applicable Cannot be determined at this time due to insufficient body of epidemiologic evidence
Method scorConclusions	titude gradient; migration data; age-incidence curve. re (derived from criteria listed in Conclusion) > 75%. s of \geq 67% of 'a' studies support an association. s of 50–66% of 'a' studies support an association.	
2	Neuroepidemiology 2004;23:1–12	Coo/Aronson

Body of Epidemiologic Evidence

For each potential risk factor, we evaluated the methodological rigour of case-control and cohort studies only. As the purpose of this review was not to duplicate previous efforts, we first searched MED-LINE (1966 to January week 2, 2002) for meta-analyses or other quantitative reviews of the evidence published in English. Reviews were found for organic solvents [13], physical trauma [14] and Epstein-Barr viral infections [15]. Accordingly, we chose not to review these factors. We then did a subject heading and key word search of MEDLINE (1966 to January week 2, 2002) for studies examining an association between MS and solar ultraviolet radiation (UVR), several hormonal exposures and dietary fat/fatty acids. We

limited our search to articles in English. We also searched the reference lists of articles located through our MEDLINE search. Summaries of the studies we reviewed are presented in tables 2 and 3.

The study design of the individual studies was assessed using a set of criteria to examine how the roles of chance, bias and confounding were minimized, elements that may affect the validity of a study's findings (see Conclusion for details) [12]. The scoring system was adapted from one developed by the National Kidney Foundation-Dialysis Outcomes Quality Initiative to assess the methodological rigour of published evidence [16]. A method score was derived by summing responses, divided by the applicable number of criteria and multiplied by 100%. We then graded individual studies as follows:

Table 2. Summary of case-control studies reviewed

Reference (grading of methodolog- ical rigour)	Cases		Comparison group	Exposures relevant	Exposure	Potential	Results
	source(s)	case definition and effective sample size	and effective sample size	to this review	measurement	confounders controlled for	
Antonovsky et al. [36] (c)	All known cases in Israel identified through nationwide survey of MS in 1961	241 prevalent cases of proba- ble or possible MS (clinical criteria)	917 randomly selected from population (47 served as duplicate controls; analysis based on 964 controls)	Average number of hours spent outdoors in summer from school age until age 15, and from age 15 to onset; frequency outdoors without being fully clothed; age at men- arche; parity	Interview [unknown whether interviewer(s) blinded to study hypotheses and/or case/control status]	Age, sex, region of birth	No association between age at menarche or parity and MS 2+ h/day spent outdoors in summer was significantly associated with MS (p = 0.02)
Berr et al. [72] (c)	General practitioners and neurologists in Hautes-Pyrénées, France	63 prevalent cases of defi- nite or proba- ble MS (Poser et al. criteria)	63 unrelated controls (source not reported)	Age at menarche	Interview (conducted by one of the investigators)	0 / 1	Older age at menarche significantly associated with MS (p < 0.002)
Cendrowski et al. [37] (c)	All known cases identified through neurological in- and outpatient departments, sanatorial centers and care homes for the chronically disabled in Poznán District,		300 patients with sciatica residing in same district as cases	Average number of hours spent outdoors in summer from school age until age 15	Interview (unknown whether interviewer blinded to study hypoth- eses and/or case/control status)		No significant associa- tion between time spent outdoors and MS
Freedman et al. [33] (b)	National Cancer Insti- tute, National Institute for Occupational Safe- ty and Health, and National Center for Health Statistics data- base of all deaths in 24 US States	from MS	2 control groups: 6,565 deaths from non-melanoma skin cancer and 153,502 deaths from other causes		Residential exposure classified as annual mean daily solar radiation based on United States Weather Bureau data; occupational exposure classified by industrial hygienist (unknown whether blinded to study hypotheses and/or case/control status)	Age, sex, race, SES	Group with highest residential and occupational exposure to sunlight were significantly less likely to have MS (OR = 0.24; 95% CI 0.15–0.38)
Ghadirian et al. [94] (b)	MS Association of Montreal East, neu- rologists, general phy- sicians, media announcements in Montreal	197 incident cases (diagno- sis and diag- nostic criteria not reported)	202 randomly selected from population	Daily vegetable fat in- take; daily animal fat intake; daily total fat intake; daily saturated fat intake; daily oleic acid intake; daily linoleic acid intake (all during year prior to diagnosis, not onset)	Interview (unknown whether interviewers blinded to study hypotheses and/or case/control status)	Age (±5 years), sex, 1st 3 digits of phone number	Increased animal fat intake significantly associated with MS (OR = 2.0; 95% CI 1.1–3.5)

Table 2 (continued)

Reference (grading of	Cases		Comparison group and effective	Exposures relevant to this review	Exposure	Potential confounders	Results
methodolog- ical rigour)	source(s)	case definition and effective sample size	sample size	to this review	measurement	controlled for	
Hopkins et al. [73] (b/c)	All known cases in Galion, Ohio identified through Galion City Health Department, MS support group, local physicians, media announcements	16 prevalent cases of defi- nite or proba- ble MS (Poser et al. criteria)	61 randomly selected from population	Age at menarche	Interview [unknown whether interviewer(s) blinded to study hypotheses and/or case/control status]	Age (±5 years), race	No association with age at menarche
Kurtzke et al. [71] (c)	Known cases on Faroe Islands	15 prevalent cases of possi- ble MS and 8 deceased cases (criteria not reported)	127 total: 69 siblings of cases; 37 neighbours and their spouses/sib- lings and case spouses; 21 distant controls, their spouses and other relatives	Age at menarche	Interview of prevalent cases/controls, and rela- tives of deceased [un- known whether inter- viewer blinded to study hypotheses and/or case/ control status]	Age	No association with again menarche
Leibowitz et al. [74] (c)	All known cases in Israel identified through nationwide survey of MS in 1961	208 prevalent and 13 inci- dent cases of probable or possible MS (clinical criteria)	416 randomly selected from population registry	Pregnancy (never/ever prior to onset)	Interview [unknown whether interviewer(s) blinded to study hypotheses and/or case/control status]	Age, region of birth	No association with pregnancy
Neutel et al. [34] (b)	Canadian death certificates from 1963 to 1972	Coding of MS as primary cause of death (number not reported)	Randomly selected from list of non- violent deaths in 1969	Annual hours of sunshine	Report No. 3 of the Canada Land Inventory (Dept of Forestry and Rural Development) and climatic maps (Meteorological Branch of Dept of Transport) used to assign ecologic measure of exposure based on province of birth	Age, sex, pro- vince of death, Canadian- or foreign-born (frequency matched)	Excess of MS cases in areas with increased ex posure to sunshine and degree days (but only statistically significant in Quebec)
Norman et al. [35] (b)	United States military veterans of World War II and Korean conflict	4,371 cases granted dis- ability com- pensation for MS	4,371 selected from National Service Life Insurance policy- holders and persons who left military service between 1950 and 1970	Annual solar radiation; mean annual sunshine		Restricted to white males; matched on year of birth, date of entry into service, branch of military and survival of wars; adjusted for latitude of county of birth	
Operskalski et al. [70] (c)	All known cases in Los Angeles County, Cali- fornia and King and Pierce Counties, Washington identi- fied through preva- lence study in 1970	145 prevalent cases of defi- nite or proba- ble MS (diag- nostic criteria not reported)	145 friends of cases	Age at menarche; parity	Self-administered questionnaire	Age (±5 years), race, birthplace, residence	No association betweer parity and MS; age at menarche in cases sig- nificantly lower than in controls (p = 0.01)
Runmarker and Andersen [44] (b)	Incidence cohort of patients with onset of MS from 1950 to 1964 resident in Göteborg, Sweden	153 prevalent cases of defi- nite or proba- ble MS (Poser et al. criteria)	Swedish general population	Pregnancy (ever/never before onset)	Not reported for cases; National Central Bureau of Statistics for compari- son group	Year of birth	Nulliparity significant- ly increases risk of MS

Table 3. Summary of cohort studies reviewed

Reference (grading of methodologi- cal rigour)	Cohort	Follow-up	Cases	Case ascertainment	Exposure measurement	Potential confounders controlled for	Results
Hernán et al. [75] (b)	238,371 participants in NHS I and II	18 years for NHS I and 8 years for NHS II	315 cases of definite or probable MS according to treating physi- cian	Self-report on bien- nial questionnaire; case status then confirmed by con- tacting physician	Baseline and biennial questionnaire asking about oral contraceptive use (current/past/never) and duration of use and number of pregnancies	Age, latitude tier at age 15, ancestry, smoking status at baseline	OC use or parity not sig- nificantly associated with MS
Thorogood and Hannaford [77] (b/c)	46,000 married women recruited in late 1960s for General Practitioners' Oral Contraception Study (UK)	564,000 person- years of obser- vation	114 cases with ICD-8 diag- nosis of MS (code 340)	Diagnosis supplied by general practi- tioners as part of study	Information collected at baseline on combined oral contraceptive use (never/former/current) and dose of oestrogen in oral contraceptives for current users and parity; information updated throughout study period by general practitioners	at baseline, smoking status at baseline	OC use not significantly associated with MS
Villard- Mackintosh and Vessey [76] (b)	17,032 white married women aged 25–39 attending 17 clinics in Britain and re- cruited for Oxford Family Planning Association Contra- ceptive Study	275,867 person- years of obser- vation	63 cases with ICD-8 diag- nosis of MS (code 340)	Diagnosed while in study by a hospital consultant	Baseline and annual fol- low-ups to determine duration of oral contra- ceptive use and parity	Age, smoking, parity	OC use not significantly associated with MS; parity (3+ vs. 0) protective against MS (RR = 0.4; 95% CI 0.2–1.4)
Zhang et al. [95] (b)	187,811 participants in NHS I and II	14 years of follow-up for NHS I and 4 years of follow-up for NHS II	bable MS ac-	Self-report on bien- nial questionnaire; case status then confirmed by con- tacting physician	Consumption of total fat; animal fat; vegetable fat; saturated fat; monounsaturated fat; m-6 polyunsaturated fat; trans-unsaturated fat; oleic acid; linolenic acid; arachidonic acid; fish omega-3 fatty acids; eicosapentaenoic acid; docosahexaenoic acid	Age, sex (study pop- ulation restricted to women), geographic tier at birth, pack- years of smoking, total energy intake	Increased n–6 polyun- saturated fat associated with MS (RR = 1.7; 95% CI 1.0–2.8); increased linoleic acid protective against MS (RR = 0.3; 95% CI 0.1– 1.1); no significant asso- ciation between total fat or other major spe- cific types of fat and MS

NHS = Nurses' Health Study; OC = oral contraceptive; RR = relative risk.

(a) method score greater than 75%; (b) method score between 51 and 75%; (c) method score between 26 and 50%, and (d) method score less than or equal to 25%. Studies with a method score of 25, 50 or 75% were graded as c/d, b/c or a/b, respectively. We then ranked the body of epidemiologic evidence for each potential risk factor as good, fair or insufficient (see table 1 for details).

Weight of Evidence

If the body of epidemiologic evidence was good, then an assessment of the weight of evidence was made, using the criteria of plausibility and consistency of results with other investigations (table 1). We gave higher priority to the criterion of consistency of results, since what is considered plausible according to our criteria requires the existence of a postulated biologic mechanism. This depends on the current state of knowledge, and a lack of a postulated mechanism does not mean that none exists [12]. Although the magnitude of the association is often included as a factor in determining causality [12],

the presumed multifactorial nature of MS may decrease the relevance of this criterion, and therefore we did not include it when weighing the evidence. All references to significance in the following text refer to statistical significance using an alpha of 0.05.

Results

Solar UVR

The latitude gradient in MS has prompted a number of studies of geoclimatic factors. Several ecologic studies have reported an inverse correlation between solar UVR and MS risk [17–19]. Solar UVR dose decreases with increasing distance from the equator. For example, annual UVB radiation – the component of UVR that is

believed to be mainly responsible for health effects in humans – expressed in minimal erythema dose is 6,000 in Hawaii at latitude 20 degrees North, compared with 2,500 in Spain at latitude 40 degrees North and 1,500 in Belgium at latitude 50 degrees North. Maps of annual UVR exposure compiled for epidemiologic studies of skin cancer and other diseases clearly show the inverse relationship between UVR dose and latitude [20]. Thus, the hypothesis that solar UVR exerts a protective effect against MS conforms to the latitude gradient seen in MS.

At least two biologic mechanisms have been postulated by which solar UVR may decrease the risk of MS. First, UVR may exert a protective effect due to its suppressive effects on the immune system. Suppression of local and systemic immune function by UVR has been documented in rodents [21], and in humans, sunlight exposure increases suppressor T cell activity [22] and inhibits the recruitment of autoreactive T cells [23].

A second possible mechanism is through the involvement of solar UVR in the biosynthesis of vitamin D. Through a chemical photolysis reaction in the skin, humans produce vitamin D. UVR acts as a catalyst in the first step of this reaction [24]. The most potent metabolite of vitamin D is 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ [1,25- $(OH)_2D_3$ [25]. Receptors for this compound have been found on peripheral blood monocytes and activated T lymphocytes [25, 26], suggesting a role for 1,25-(OH)₂D₃ in inflammation [24, 27]. In vivo, 1,25-(OH)₂D₃ inhibits interleukin (IL)-2, a cytokine that promotes the growth of T cells [25]. In a series of experiments, investigators studied the effects of 1,25-(OH)₂D₃ on experimental allergic (autoimmune) encephalomyelitis (EAE) [28-30], a demyelinating disease of the central nervous system (CNS) with a similar pathological and clinical profile to MS [31] that serves as an animal model for MS. In brief, mice or rats treated with 1,25-(OH)₂D₃ prior to induction of EAE showed significant signs of reduced EAE severity and CNS inflammation [28] and improved inhibition of EAE relapses [29, 30]. Further experimental evidence of a protective role of UVR, regardless of the mechanism, comes from another study done in mice. Only 9% of mice irradiated with whole-body UVR prior to immunization with mouse spinal cord homogenate to induce EAE developed clinical signs of acute EAE in contrast to 86% of mice who were not irradiated [32].

In the epidemiologic literature, 5 case-control studies that assessed the association between exposure to solar UVR and MS risk were identified. Three were graded as 'b' [33–35] and 2 were graded as 'c' [36, 37]. Accordingly, the body of epidemiologic evidence was ranked as 'insuf-

ficient'. Three of the studies assigned an ecologic measure of residential exposure: either annual hours of sunshine [34, 35] or annual solar radiation [35] of place of birth, or the level of solar UVR based on the residence at death [33]. The 2 studies that examined individual levels of residential exposure asked about the time spent outdoors in summer from school age until age 15 [37], and in summer and winter from school age to age 15 and from school age to onset, as well as the amount of time spent outdoors 'not fully clothed' [36]. Individual-level occupational exposure was classified according to one's usual occupation as indoor work, combined indoor and outdoor work or outdoor work [33].

We could not weight the evidence as the body of epidemiologic evidence was insufficient. More precise measures of UVR exposure are needed to investigate whether there is any association with MS [33]. For example, a number of factors influence ambient UVR, including cloud cover, altitude and air pollution [20]. Furthermore, although measures of ambient UVR provide upper limits of human exposure, actual exposure is dependent on individual behaviours related to clothing, sunscreen use, the number of hours spent in the sun and exposure to artificial sources of UVR, such as sun lamps. Estimates of individual exposure could thus combine data on ambient UVR with a behavioural model of exposure [20]. Guidelines for the measurement of sun-related behaviours have been published [38].

Sex Hormones

A skewed sex distribution is a common factor in many autoimmune diseases [39]. The hypothesis that sex hormones may influence susceptibility to MS therefore accords well with the sex ratio in MS. This hypothesis also accords with the age-incidence curve in MS, as a number of sex hormones have similar age curves, including androstenedione [40], oestrone, oestradiol and 17-OH progesterone in females [41], and dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate in males and females [42].

There is evidence that sex hormones affect disease activity in MS and EAE. For example, pregnancy has been associated with a lower relapse rate in MS, with a subsequent increase in disease activity in the postpartum period in some studies [43, 44], but not in others [45]. Worsening of MS symptoms immediately prior to or at the beginning of menstruation has also been reported in women with relapsing-remitting MS [46]. Further evidence that sex hormones are associated with disease activity in MS comes from a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study. When serum oestradiol and progesterone levels

were correlated with gadolinium-enhancing lesions (an indicator of disease activity) on MRI, women with high oestradiol and low progesterone levels demonstrated a significantly higher level of disease activity than women with low levels of both hormones. Furthermore, women with a high oestrogen-to-progesterone ratio demonstrated a significantly greater number of gadolinium-enhancing lesions than women with a low ratio of these hormones [47].

The role of sex hormones has also been studied in EAE. Some of the laboratory studies suggest that the gender difference observed in EAE susceptibility is due primarily to the protective effect of testosterone [4, 48]. Nonetheless, other experimental studies have shown a decrease in disease severity following induction of EAE in both mice and rats after administration of oestriol pellets [49] or synthetic oestrogen 17α -ethinyloestradiol [50]. Similarly, the decrease in disease severity during pregnancy is thought to be due, in part, to high levels of oestriol observed during this time period [49, 51]. Experimental evidence also exists for a protective role of sex hormones produced in the ovaries against the onset of EAE [52] and the relapse of EAE [51].

Although the precise mechanisms by which sex hormones may influence susceptibility to MS are not known, in vivo and in vitro studies have demonstrated that sex hormones affect immunoreactivity [39, 53, 54]. In general, oestrogens tend to stimulate humoral and cell-mediated immune responses, while androgens tend to be immunosuppressive [55]. Therefore, if sex hormones do play an aetiological role, they may do so through their effects on the immune system. One hypothesis is that sex hormones may be involved in one or more stages of lymphocyte maturation, whereby inappropriate regulation during lymphocyte development leads to the breakdown of self-tolerance [56]. Another hypothesis is that oestrogens may affect expression of the proto-oncogenes and oncosuppressor genes involved in programmed cell death, which may be relevant to human autoimmune disease [53].

A third hypothesis relates to cytokines. Cytokines are secreted by immune cells, including T cells, and act on other cells of the immune system to regulate their function [57]. Cellular immunity is promoted and regulated by Th1, or pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-2, IL-12, interferon (INF)-γ and tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-β. Humoral immunity is promoted and regulated by Th2, or anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-5 and IL-6 [58]. In EAE, a predominance of Th1-cytokine-secreting cells has been found in clinical disease,

whereas a predominance of Th2-cytokine-secreting cells has been found in the recovery phase [59, 60]. Furthermore, the clinical course of EAE can be altered or prevented by the administration of certain cytokines [61, 62]. It has therefore been postulated that MS may be associated with a dysregulation in the balance between Th1 and Th2 cytokines [58, 63].

Experimental evidence has shown that sex hormones affect cytokine secretion. The administration of male hormones such as synthetic androstene derivatives and androstenetriol in mice is thought to limit the production of certain Th1 cytokines and can significantly delay the onset and severity of EAE [31]. Conversely, oestradiol has been found to enhance the secretion of the Th1 cytokine IFN-y, when administered at a concentration found during the pre-ovulatory phase. Oestrone, oestriol and progesterone were also found to affect the secretion of cytokines IL-10, IFN-γ and TNF-β by human CD4+ T cell clones [64, 65]. Thus, by modulating cytokine secretion, sex hormones may influence susceptibility to MS. This hypothesis accords with the observations mentioned earlier regarding pregnancy and MS. Pregnancy causes a shift towards a Th2 cytokine profile. There is a radical shift postpartum in hormone levels, and lower levels of hormones that inhibit Th1 cytokines may allow inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and MS to flare up or to develop [66].

A few studies have examined serum hormone levels in MS. Grinsted et al. [67] measured serum hormone levels in 14 premenopausal women and 14 controls, and found that compared with the control group, the MS group had significantly higher mean serum concentrations of prolactin, luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, total and free testosterone, dihydrotestosterone and androstenedione, and a significantly lower serum concentration of oestrone sulphate. They did not appear to control for the possible confounding influences of age and body mass index. Another small study measured serum testosterone in 20 men with MS and found that 4 men had abnormally low levels. No control group was used [68].

The findings of these studies must be interpreted with caution since MS lesions may disrupt CNS pathways controlling endocrine output [69], and thus differences in hormone levels may be secondary to the disease. Accordingly, we limited our search to potential hormonal risk factors for which exposure was known to have occurred prior to disease onset.

In examining the epidemiologic data on sex hormones and MS, we focused on 4 hormonal risk factors: (1) age at menarche: we found 5 case-control studies, 4 of which we

graded as 'c' [36, 70–72] and 1 of which we graded as 'b/c' [73]; (2) pregnancy: we found 3 case-control studies of which 1 was graded as 'b' [44] and 2 as 'c' [70, 74]; (3) parity: we found 3 cohort studies, 2 of which we graded as 'b' [75, 76] and the other as 'b/c' [77]. Two case-control studies were also identified, both of which we graded as 'c' [36, 70], and (4) oral contraceptives: we found 3 cohort studies, 2 of which we graded as 'b' [75, 76] and the other as 'b/c' [77].

In brief, we could not weigh the evidence for any of the above hormonal factors since the body of epidemiologic evidence for each of them was insufficient. Investigating the role of sex hormones in MS is difficult for a number of reasons. Measuring serum levels of hormones in cases and controls may be misleading, due to issues of temporality (i.e. whether such differences existed prior to disease onset or whether they were a result of the disease). Furthermore, it may not be the case that the same hormone is influencing susceptibility in both males and females, or even that a single hormone is involved: rather, it may be the ratio between two or more hormones that is important. In addition, sex hormones may increase receptor levels of other sex hormones, so even if the actual concentration of a hormone is not increased, its biological activity may be. In future, proxy measures of hormone status may be the most feasible way of characterizing exposure (such as irregular menstruation as a measure of lower cumulative exposure to ovarian hormones or shorter regular cycles as a measure of greater cumulative exposure [78], or greasy skin, acne and hirsutism as measures of hyperandrogenism [79]). Proxy measures of in utero hormone exposure may also be warranted, since it has been suggested that this may affect the immune system in offspring by influencing the balance between a Th1 and Th2 cytokine response [80, 81].

Dietary Fat/Fatty Acids

A number of ecologic studies have reported significant positive correlations for dietary fat and MS prevalence [82, 83] and mortality [84]. However, although geographic variations in diet certainly exist, we are aware of no study that examined dietary fat/fatty acids and latitude. Furthermore, the dietary hypothesis does not concur with the sex ratio and the age-incidence curve characteristic of MS. Moreover, while migration may alter dietary patterns, it is difficult to defend the view that this would occur among migrants moving from a high- to a low-risk area for MS, but would not occur among those moving from a low- to a higher-risk area.

Nevertheless, there is some evidence that fatty acids may affect the course of MS. A combined analysis of data from 3 randomized, double-blind trials found that linoleic acid, a polyunsaturated essential fatty acid, significantly reduced the progression of disability as measured by the Kurtzke score among patients with a baseline disability score of 0–2. Furthermore, it also significantly reduced the severity and duration of relapses across all baseline Kurtzke scores as measured by the mean relapse score [85]. Linoleic acid has also been shown to significantly reduce the severity of EAE in guinea pigs [86], while more than 50% of rats fed a diet lacking in polyunsaturated fatty acids developed paralysis of the neck or extremities after they had been immunized with guinea pig brain homogenate to induce EAE, compared to none of the control group fed a normal diet [87].

Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain these observations as reviewed by Mayer [88]. For example, myelin is composed of 75–80% lipids, of which polyunsaturated fatty acids are a major component [89]. Small changes in concentration of the latter may affect the stability and biochemical reactivity of the myelin and its supporting glia [90], thereby increasing susceptibility of the myelin sheath to demyelinating agents [91]. While myelin normally undergoes large changes in its lipid composition with aging with the structural stability of the myelin sheath being maintained [89], changes in the concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acids may be important during the postnatal period when myelination of the CNS is taking place.

In addition to membrane stability, polyunsaturated fatty acids also play a role in immune function. n–3 polyunsaturated fatty acids suppress the production of arachidonic-acid-derived eicosanoids, compounds that modulate the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [92]. In one experiment, the ratio of production of Th1 (pro-inflammatory) to Th2 (anti-inflammatory) cytokines (as measured by the IFN-γ/IL-4 ratio) was lowest in mice fed diets rich in n–3 or n–6 polyunsaturated fatty acids compared with mice fed low-fat or saturated fatty acid diets [93].

Upon reviewing the epidemiologic literature, to allow comparability of results and to conform to the potential biologic mechanisms described above, only those studies were included that reported a precise measure of dietary fat or fatty acid consumption, such as total fat intake or total saturated fatty acid intake. Accordingly, we excluded 15 studies that reported: consumption of specific foods, such as meat or milk, without measurement of actual fat or fatty acid content; differences in overall diet; or breast-

feeding and risk of MS. We graded the remaining case-control study, which measured daily intake of total fat, saturated fat, oleic acid, linoleic acid, animal fat and vegetable fat, as 'b' [94]. One cohort study was graded as 'b' [95]. It reported dietary intake of total fat, animal fat, vegetable fat, saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, n-6 polyunsaturated fat, trans-unsaturated fat, oleic acid, linolenic acid, arachidonic acid, fish omega-3 fatty acids, eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid. Since there exist only 2 analytic studies to our knowledge that report precise measures of dietary fat and fatty acids, the body of epidemiologic evidence was ranked 'insufficient'.

Many factors make it challenging to investigate the aetiologic role of diet in MS. There is a potential for recall bias, since some hypotheses concerning diet have become well-known among people with MS, which may lead to differential misclassification in a study that relies on questionnaire data [96]. One possible way to overcome problems of recall bias is to use more objective methods for dietary assessment, such as adipose tissue biopsies [97]. However, such an invasive procedure may decrease the response rate.

Establishing the correct exposure window is also problematic: should information on diet be sought for the period immediately preceding disease onset, or for childhood, the period during which migration studies indicate the disease process may be initiated [98–100]? In the latter case, the problem then becomes one of how to accurately measure food intake in the distant past. Current diet as a proxy measure for past diet may not be an accurate measure, since 1 study found that two thirds of people with MS had changed their diet following diagnosis [101]. Recall of past diet may also be poor since it is influenced by current diet [102, 103]. Nevertheless, recall, rather than current diet, has been found to be a more accurate indicator of past dietary intake [103].

Another issue concerns what aspects of diet are measured. For example, diet as a potential risk factor has been quite extensively investigated, as evidenced by the number of studies that were found. However, studies that may be relevant to dietary fat/fatty acids focus on consumption of different food items (e.g. meat versus milk consumption) or measure intake in different ways (e.g. a predominantly meat-based diet versus consumption of individual food items like meat, chicken and fish), making a synthesis of the results difficult. It may be more useful to state a priori a potential biologic mechanism by which diet may influence risk, and then formulate a specific hypothesis. This specificity may increase the precision of exposure measurement. For example, if the postulated

biologic mechanism is that a deficiency of polyunsaturated fatty acids results in unstable myelin, which increases susceptibility to demyelination, then the hypothesis can be formulated that persons with MS will have a lower intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids at some period prior to disease onset than controls. When this aspect of diet is investigated, it would be more relevant to calculate the actual intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids, rather than reporting the frequency of meat or milk consumption.

Conclusion

This review has a number of shortcomings. We limited our search to articles in MEDLINE published in English and did not search for unpublished articles, abstracts or articles published in languages other than English. The reviewers were not blinded to the authors or results of the studies. Furthermore, the criteria we used to grade the methodological rigour of studies may not have been inclusive, and we also gave equal weight to each criterion.

Based on our set of criteria to assess the methodological rigour of published reports, the following criteria were fully reported by individual studies where applicable (certain criteria applied only to case-control studies): (1) base population specified; (2) comparison group selected from base population; (3) incident cases studied; (4) cases restricted to clinically definite MS according to established criteria; (5) overall response rate >80% or losses to follow-up <20%; (6) characteristics of respondents and non-respondents or losses to follow-up not significantly different; (7) validity of registry data/external data source reported; (8) statistical analysis specified and appropriate. and (9) confidence interval around risk estimate reasonably narrow. However, individual studies only partially reported on the remaining set of methodologically rigorous criteria: (1) exclusion criteria specified and same for all groups; (2) exposure ascertainment described and same for all groups; (3) exposure measured in a precise and valid manner; (4) interviewers and/or technicians and/or outcome assessors blinded, and (5) controlled for potential confounders.

Given that the methodological rigour was based on what was reported in the published article, the calculated score may not be reflective of the actual quality of the study (for example, a follow-up rate may not have been reported in the published article, even though losses to follow-up may have been low). Moreover, although we used epidemiologic principles as a guideline when we formulated the criteria used to rank plausibility, body of epi-

Table 4. Summary of rankings for plausibility, body of epidemiologic evidence and weight of evidence for several potential non-genetic risk factors for MS

Potential risk factor	Plausibility	Body of epidemiologic evidence	Weight of evidence
Solar UVR	good	insufficient	N/A
Sex hormones Age at menarche Pregnancy Parity Oral contraceptives	good	insufficient insufficient insufficient insufficient	N/A N/A N/A N/A
Dietary fat/fatty acids	fair	insufficient	N/A

N/A = Not applicable (cannot be determined due to insufficient body of epidemiologic evidence).

demiologic evidence and weight of evidence, our rankings may be viewed as somewhat arbitrary.

In spite of this, we hope that this review fulfills our goal of providing an overview of the most plausible hypotheses that can be tested in a precise manner. Table 4 gives a summary of the rankings for the potential risk factors we chose to examine. According to our system of rankings, the body of epidemiologic evidence is insufficient at this time to weigh the evidence for any factor, and therefore it can be proposed that all of them need further study. However, we assigned the highest plausibility for a potential

aetiologic role to solar UVR and sex hormones, and feel that there is a need for more research in these areas.

One issue that is highlighted in our review is how precisely exposure to a factor can or has been measured in past studies. More precise exposure measurement will allow more reliable conclusions to be drawn. It is possible to design studies that would enable much more precise measurement of exposure to solar UVR, as we discussed in the summary to that section. It is also possible to measure dietary fat and fatty acids much more precisely, as was done in the 2 studies we graded [94, 95]. For sex hormones, proxy measures of hormonal status prior to disease onset do not seem to have been investigated, and there is a need for more research in this area. In conclusion, we hope that the factors that contribute to MS susceptibility will soon be elucidated, as many of the agents postulated over 100 years ago as potential risk factors are still under investigation. We feel therefore that priority for research should be given to potential risk factors that meet the criteria of plausibility in terms of postulated biologic mechanisms and conformity to the epidemiologic features of MS, and for which exposure can be precisely measured.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Wenli Fan and Harriet Richardson of the Department of Community Health and Epidemiology at Queen's University for assisting in the methodological review of papers and editing. This work was supported by a grant from the Multiple Sclerosis Society of Canada. Dr. Aronson holds a Career Scientist Award from the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (Ontario).

References

- 1 Compston A: The story of multiple sclerosis; in Compston A, Ebers G, Lassmann H, McDonald I, Matthews B, Wekerle H (eds): McAlpine's Multiple Sclerosis, ed 3. London, Churchill Livingstone, 1998, pp 3–42.
- 2 Ebers GC, Sadovnick AD: Susceptibility: Genetics in multiple sclerosis; in Paty DW, Ebers GC (eds): Multiple Sclerosis. Philadelphia, FA Davis, 1998, pp 29–47.
- 3 Duquette P, Pleines J, Girard M, Charest L, Senecal-Quevillon M, Masse C: The increased susceptibility of women to multiple sclerosis. Can J Neurol Sci 1992;19:466–471.
- 4 Voskuhl RR, Palaszynski K: Sex hormones in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: Implications for multiple sclerosis. Neuroscientist 2001;7:258–270.

- 5 Hernán MA, Olek MJ, Ascherio A: Geographic variation of MS incidence in two prospective studies of US women. Neurology 1999;53: 1711–1718.
- 6 Compston A: Distribution of multiple sclerosis; in Compston A, Ebers G, Lassmann H, McDonald I, Matthews B, Wekerle H (eds): McAlpine's Multiple Sclerosis, ed 3. London, Churchill Livingstone, 1998, pp 63–100.
- 7 Vassallo L, Elian M, Dean G: Multiple sclerosis in southern Europe. 2. Prevalence in Malta in 1978. J Epidemiol Community Health 1979; 33:111–113.
- 8 Dean G, Grimaldi G, Kelly R, Karhausen L: Multiple sclerosis in southern Europe. 1. Prevalence in Sicily in 1975. J Epidemiol Community Health 1979;33:107–110.
- 9 Rosati G, Aiello I, Pirastru MI, Mannu L, Sanna G, Sau GF, Sotgiu S: Epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in Northwestern Sardinia: Further evidence for higher frequency in Sardinians compared to other Italians. Neuroepidemiology 1996;15:10–19.
- 10 Gale CR, Martyn CN: Migrant studies in multiple sclerosis. Prog Neurobiol 1995;47:425–448
- 11 Vollmer TL: Multiple sclerosis: The disease and its diagnosis; in van den Noort S, Holland NJ (eds): Multiple Sclerosis in Clinical Practice. New York, Demos Medical Publishing, 1999, pp 1–22.
- 12 Hennekens CH, Buring JE: Epidemiology in Medicine. Toronto, Little, Brown and Company, 1987.

- 13 Landtblom A-M, Flodin U, Söderfeldt B, Wolfson C, Axelson O: Organic solvents and multiple sclerosis: A synthesis of the current evidence. Epidemiology 1996;7:429–433.
- 14 Goodin DS, Ebers GC, Johnson KP, Rodriguez M, Sibley WA, Wolinsky JS: The relationship of MS to physical trauma and psychological stress. Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 1999; 52:1737–1745.
- 15 Ascherio A, Munch M: Epstein-Barr virus and multiple sclerosis. Epidemiology 2000;11:220– 224.
- 16 Steinberg EP, Eknoyan G, Levin NW, Eschbach JW, Golper TA, Owen WF, Schwab S: Methods used to evaluate the quality of evidence underlying the National Kidney Foundation-Dialysis Outcomes Quality Initiative Clinical Practice Guidelines: Description, findings, and implications. Am J Kidney Dis 2000;36:
- 17 Acheson ED, Bachrach CA, Wright FM: Some comments on the relationship of the distribution of multiple sclerosis to latitude, solar radiation, and other variables. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1960;35(Suppl 147):132–147.
- 18 Leibowitz U, Sharon D, Alter M: Geographical considerations in multiple sclerosis. Brain 1967:90:871–886.
- 19 Van der Mai IA, Ponsonby AL, Blizzard L, Dwyer T: Regional variation in multiple sclerosis prevalence in Australia and its association with ambient ultraviolet radiation. Neuroepidemiology 2001;20:168–174.
- 20 IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: Solar and Ultraviolet Radiation; 1992 Feb 11–18; Lyon. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, IARC, 1992, vol 55.
- 21 McMichael AJ, Hall AJ: Does immunosuppressive ultraviolet radiation explain the latitude gradient for multiple sclerosis? Epidemiology 1997;8:642–645.
- 22 Hersey P, Hare G, Hasic E, Edwards A: Alteration of T cell subsets and induction of suppressor T cell activity in normal subjects after exposure to sunlight. J Immunol 1983;31:171–174.
- 23 Dumas M, Jauberteau-Marchan MO: The protective role of Langerhans' cells and sunlight in multiple sclerosis. Med Hypotheses 2000;55: 517–520.
- 24 Hayes CE, Cantorna MT, Deluca HF: Vitamin D and multiple sclerosis. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1997;126:21–27.
- 25 Bhalla AK, Amento EP, Clemens TL, Holick MR, Krane SM: Specific high-affinity receptors for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells: Presence in monocytes and induction in T lymphocytes following activation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1983;57:1308–1311.
- 26 Tsoukas CD, Provvedini DM, Manolagas SC: 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D₃: A novel immunoregulatory hormone. Science 1984;224:1438– 1440.

- 27 Deluca HF, Cantorna MT: Vitamin D: Its role and uses in immunology. FASEB J 2001;15: 2579–2585.
- 28 Nashold FE, Miller DJ, Hayes CD: 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D₃ treatment decreases macrophage accumulation in the CNS of mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neuroimmunol 2000;103:171-179.
- 29 Cantorna MT, Hayes CE, DeLuca HF: 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D₃ reversibly blocks the progression of relapsing encephalomyelitis, a model of multiple sclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996:93:7861–7864.
- 30 Garcion E, Sindji L, Nataf S, Brachet P, Darcy F, Montero-Menei CN: Treatment of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in rat by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ leads to early effects within the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 2003:105:438-448.
- 31 Offner H, Zamora A, Drought H, Matejuk A, Auci DL, Morgan EE, Vandenbark AA, Reading CL: A synthetic androstene derivative and a natural androstene metabolite inhibit relapsing-remitting EAE. J Neuroimmunol 2002; 130:128–139.
- 32 Hauser SL, Weiner HL, Che M, Shapiro ME, Gilles F, Letvin NL: Prevention of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE) in the SJL/ J mouse by whole body ultraviolet irradiation. J Immunol 1984:132:1276–1281.
- 33 Freedman DM, Dosemici M, Alavanja MCR: Mortality from multiple sclerosis and exposure to residential and occupational solar radiation: A case-control study based on death certificates. Occup Environ Med 2000;57:418–421.
- 34 Neutel CI: Multiple sclerosis and the Canadian climate. J Chronic Dis 1980;33:47–56.
- 35 Norman JE Jr, Kurtzke JF, Beebe GW: Epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in US veterans. 2. Latitude, climate and the risk of multiple sclerosis. J Chronic Dis 1983;36:551–599.
- 36 Antonovsky A, Leibowitz U, Smith HA, Medalie JM, Balogh M, Kats R, Halpern L, Alter M: Epidemiologic study of multiple sclerosis in Israel. 1. An overall review of methods and findings. Arch Neurol 1965;13:183–193.
- 37 Cendrowski W, Wender M, Dominik Z, Flejsierowicz A, Owsianowski M, Popiel M: Epidemiological study of multiple sclerosis in western Poland. Eur Neurol 1969;2:90–108.
- 38 Lovato C, Shoveller J, Mills C and an Expert Panel: Canadian national workshop on measurement of sun-related behaviours (workshop report). Chronic Dis Can 1999;20:96–100.
- 39 Schuurs AHWM, Verheul HAM: Effects of gender and sex steroids on the immune response. J Steroid Biochem 1990;35:157–192.
- 40 Purifoy FE, Koopmans LH, Tatum RW: Steroid hormones and aging: Free testosterone, testosterone and androstenedione in normal females aged 20–87 years. Hum Biol 1980;52: 181–191.
- 41 Halberg F: Rhythms, hormones, and aging; in Vernadakis A, Timiras PS (eds): Hormones in Development and Aging. New York, Spectrum Publications, 1982, pp 451–476.

- 42 Orentreich N, Brind JL, Rizer RL, Vogelman JH: Age changes and sex differences in serum dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate concentrations throughout adulthood. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1984;59:551–555.
- 43 Confavreux C, Hutchinson M, Hours MM, Cortinovis-Tourniaire P, Moreau T: Rate of pregnancy-related relapse in multiple sclerosis. Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis Group. N Engl J Med 1998;339:285–291.
- 44 Runmarker B, Andersen O: Pregnancy is associated with a lower risk of onset and a better prognosis in multiple sclerosis. Brain 1995; 118:253–261.
- 45 Sadovnick AD, Eisen K, Hashimoto SA, Farquhar R, Yee IML, Hooge J, Kastrukoff L. Oger JJ, Paty DW: Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis: A prospective study. Arch Neurol 1994;51:1120–1124.
- 46 Zorgdrager A, De Keyser J: Menstrually related worsening of symptoms in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci 1997;149:95–97.
- 47 Bansil S, Lee HJ, Jindal S, Holtz CR, Cook SD: Correlation between sex hormones and magnetic resonance imaging lesions in multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurol Scand 1999;99:91–94.
- 48 Dalal M, Kim S, Voskuhl RR: Testosterone therapy ameliorates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and induces a T helper 2 bias in the autoantigen-specific T lymphocyte response. J Immunol 1997;159:3–6.
- 49 Kim S, Liva SM, Dalal MA, Verity MA, Voskuhl RR: Estriol ameliorates autoimmune demyelinating disease. Neurology 1999;52:1230– 1238.
- 50 Trooster WJ, Teelken AW, Kampinga J, Loof JG, Nieuwenhuis P, Minderhoud JM: Suppression of acute experimental allergic encephalomyelitis by the synthetic sex hormone 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol: An immunological study in the Lewis rat. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 1993;102:133–140.
- 51 Trooster WJ, Teelken AW, Gerrits PO, Lijnema TH, Loof JG, Minderhoud JM, Nieuwenhuis P: The effect of gonadectomy on the clinical course of chronic experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. Clinical Neurol Neurosurg 1996;98:222–226.
- 52 Arnason BG, Richman DP: Effects of estrogen, progestin and combined estrogen-progestin oral contraceptive preparations on experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. Trans Am Neurol Assoc 1969:94:54–58.
- 53 Cutolo M, Sulli A, Seriolo B, Accardo S, Masi AT: Estrogens, the immune response and autoimmunity. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1995;13:217– 226.
- 54 Homo-Delarche F, Fitzpatrick F, Christeff N, Nunez EA, Bach JF, Dardenne M: Sex steroids, glucocorticoids, stress and autoimmunity. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991;40:619–637.
- 55 Lahita RG: Predisposing factors to autoimmune disease. Int J Fertil Womens Med 1997; 42:115–119.
- 56 Grossman CJ, Roselle GA, Mendenhall CL: Sex steroid regulation of autoimmunity. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991;40:649–659.

- 57 Golub ES, Green DR: Immunology: A Synthesis, ed 2. Sunderland, Sinauer Associates, 1991
- 58 Rohowsky-Kochan C, Molinaro D, Cook SD: Cytokine secretion profile of myelin basic protein-specific T cells in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2000;6:69–77.
- 59 Kennedy MK, Torrance DS, Picha KS, Mohler KM: Analysis of cytokine mRNA expression in the central nervous system of mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis reveals that IL-10 mRNA expression correlates with recovery. J Immunol 1992;149:2496–2505.
- 60 Khoury SJ, Hancock WW, Weiner HL: Oral tolerance to myelin basic protein and natural recovery from experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis are associated with downregulation of inflammatory cytokines and differential upregulation of transforming growth factor beta, interleukin 4, and prostaglandin E expression in the brain. J Exp Med 1992;176:1355– 1364
- 61 Leonard JP, Waldburger KE, Goldman SJ: Prevention of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by antibodies against interleukin 12. J Exp Med 1995;181:381–386.
- 62 Racke MK, Bonomo A, Scott DE, Cannella B, Levine A, Raine CS, Shevach EM, Rocken M: Cytokine-induced immune deviation as a therapy for inflammatory autoimmune disease. J Exp Med 1994;180:1961–1966.
- 63 Liu HY, Buenafe AC, Matejuk A, Ito A, Zamora A, Dwyer J, Vandenbark AA, Offner H: Estrogen inhibition of EAE involves effects on dendritic cell function. J Neurosci Res 2002; 70:238–248.
- 64 Gilmore W, Weiner LP, Correale J: Effect of estradiol on cytokine secretion by proteolipid protein-specific T cell clones isolated from multiple sclerosis patients and normal control subjects. J Immunol 1997;158:446–451.
- 65 Correale J, Arias M, Gilmore W: Steroid hormone regulation of cytokine secretion by proteolipid protein-specific CD4+ T cell clones isolated from multiple sclerosis patients and normal control subjects. J Immunol 1998;161: 3365–3374.
- 66 Elenkov IJ, Hoffman J, Wilder RL: Does differential neuroendocrine control of cytokine production govern the expression of autoimmune diseases in pregnancy and the postpartum period? Mol Med Today 1997;3:379–383.
- 67 Grinsted L, Heltberg A, Hagen C, Djursing H: Serum sex hormone and gonadotropin concentrations in premenopausal women with multiple sclerosis. J Intern Med 1989;226:241–244.
- 68 Bourdette D, McClung M, Whitham R, Hatch T: Hypothalamic hypogonadism may cause sexual dysfunction in some males with multiple sclerosis (abstract). Neurology 1988;38 (Suppl 1):253.
- 69 Reder AT: Neuroendocrine regulation and the immune response in MS. Res Immunol 1989; 14:239–248.
- 70 Operskalski EA, Visscher BR, Malmgren RM, Detels R: A case-control study of multiple sclerosis. Neurology 1989;39:825–829.

- 71 Kurtzke JF, Hyllested K, Arbuckle JD, Bronnum-Hansen H, Wallin MT, Heltberg A, Jacobsen H, Olsen A, Eriksen LS: Multiple sclerosis in the Faroe Islands. 7. Results of a case control questionnaire with multiple controls. Acta Neurol Scand 1997;96:149–157.
- 72 Berr C, Puel J, Clanet M, Ruydavet JB, Mas JL, Alpérovitch A: Risk factors in multiple sclerosis: A population-based case-control study in Hautes-Pyrénées, France. Acta Neurol Scand 1989;80:46–50.
- 73 Hopkins RS, Indian RW, Pinnow E, Conomy J: Multiple sclerosis in Galion, Ohio: Prevalence and results of a case-control study. Neuroepidemiology 1991;10:192–199.
- 74 Leibowitz U, Sharon D, Alter M: Geographical considerations in multiple sclerosis. Brain 1967;90:871–886.
- 75 Hernán MA, Hohol MJ, Olek MJ, Spiegelman D, Ascherio A: Oral contraceptives and the incidence of multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2000;55:848–853.
- 76 Villard-Macintosh L, Vessey MP: Oral contraceptives and reproductive factors in multiple sclerosis incidence. Contraception 1993;47: 161–168.
- 77 Thorogood M, Hannaford PC: The influence of oral contraceptives on the risk of multiple sclerosis. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1998;105:1296– 1299
- 78 Kato I, Toniolo P, Koenig KL, Shore RE, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Akhmedkhanov A, Riboli E: Epidemiologic correlates with menstrual cycle length in middle aged women. Eur J Epidemiol 1999;15:809–814.
- 79 Falaschi P, Martocchia A, Proietti A, D'Urso R, Antonini G: High incidence of hyperandrogenism-related clinical signs in patients with multiple sclerosis. Neuroendocrinol Lett 2001; 22:248–250.
- 80 Xu B, Järvelin M, Hartikainen A, Pekkanen J: Maternal age at menarche and atopy among offspring at the age of 31 years. Thorax 2000; 55:691–693.
- 81 Bingley PJ, Douek IF, Rogers CA, Gale EAM: Influence of maternal age at delivery and birth order on risk of type 1 diabetes in childhood: Prospective population-based family study. BMJ 2000;321:420–424.
- 82 Nanji AA, Narod S: Multiple sclerosis, latitude and dietary fat: Is pork the missing link? Med Hypotheses 1986;20:279–282.
- 83 Alter M, Yamoor M, Harshe M: Multiple sclerosis and nutrition. Arch Neurol 1974;31:267– 272
- 84 Esparza ML, Sasaki S, Kesteloot H: Nutrition, latitude, and multiple sclerosis mortality: An ecologic study. Am J Epidemiol 1995;142:733– 737.
- 85 Dworkin RH, Bates D, Millar JHD, Paty DW: Linoleic acid and multiple sclerosis: A reanalysis of three double-blind trials. Neurology 1984:34:1441–1445.
- 86 Meade CJ, Mertin J, Sheena J, Hunt R: Reduction by linoleic acid of the severity of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci 1978;35:291–308.

- 87 Clausen J, Møller J: Allergic encephalomyelitis induced by brain antigen after deficiency in polyunsaturated fatty acids during myelination. Is multiple sclerosis a nutritive disorder? Acta Neurol Scand 1967;43:375–388.
- 88 Mayer M: Essential fatty acids and related molecular and cellular mechanisms in multiple sclerosis: New looks at old concepts. Folia Biol (Praha) 1999;45:133–141.
- 89 Williams KA, Deber CM: The structure and function of central nervous system myelin. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 1993;30:29–64.
- Mertin J, Meade CJ: Relevance of fatty acids in multiple sclerosis. Br Med Bull 1977;33: 67–71.
- 91 Tola MR, Granieri E, Managù S, Caniatti L, Casetta I, Govoni V, Paolino E, Cinzia Monetti V, Canducci E, Panatta GB: Dietary habits and multiple sclerosis. A retrospective study in Ferrara, Italy. Acta Neurol 1994;16: 189–197.
- 92 Calder PC: n-3 polunsaturated fatty acids and cytokine production in health and disease. Ann Nutr Metab 1997;41:203-234.
- 93 Wallace FA, Miles EA, Evans C, Stock TE, Yaqoob P, Calder PC: Dietary fatty acids influence the production of Th1- but not Th2type cytokines. J Leukoc Biol 2001;69:449– 457.
- 94 Ghadirian P, Jain M, Ducic S, Shatenstein B, Morisset R: Nutritional factors in the aetiology of multiple sclerosis: A case-control study in Montreal, Canada. Int J Epidemiol 1998; 27:845–852.
- 95 Zhang SM, Willett WC, Hernàn MA, Olek MJ, Ascherio A: Dietary fat in relation to risk of multiple sclerosis among two large cohorts of women. Am J Epidemiol 2000;152:1056– 1064.
- 96 Lauer K: Diet and multiple sclerosis. Neurology 1997;49(Suppl 2):S55–S61.
- 97 Ben-Shlomo Y, Smith GD, Marmot MG: Dietary fat in the epidemiology of multiple sclerosis: Has the situation been adequately assessed? Neuroepidemiology 1992;11:214–225.
- 98 Alter M, Hapern L, Kurland LT, Bornstein B, Leibowitz U, Silberstein J: Prevalence among migrants and native inhabitants. Arch Neurol 1962;7:253–263.
- 99 Alter M, Leibowitz U, Speer J: Risk of multiple sclerosis related to age of immigration to Israel. Arch Neurol 1966;15:234–237.
- 100 Dean G: Annual incidence, prevalence and mortality of multiple sclerosis in white South-African-born and in white immigrants to South Africa. Br Med J 1967;2:724–730.
- 101 Hewson DC, Phillips NA, Simpson KE, Drury P, Crawford MA: Food intake in multiple sclerosis. Hum Nutr Appl Nutr 1984;38A: 355–367.
- 102 Friedenreich CM, Slimani N, Riboli E: Measurement of past diet: Review of previous and proposed methods. Epidemiol Rev 1992;14: 177–196
- 103 Dwyer JT, Gardner J, Halvorsen K, Krall EA, Cohen A, Valadian I: Memory of food intake in the distant past. Am J Epidemiol 1989;130: 1033–1046.