

Vitamin D Deficiency Is Associated With Low Mood and Worse Cognitive Performance in Older Adults

*Consuelo H. Wilkins, M.D., Yvette I. Sheline, M.D.,
Catherine M. Roe, Ph.D., Stanley J. Birge, M.D.,
John C. Morris, M.D.*

Background: *Vitamin D deficiency is common in older adults and has been implicated in psychiatric and neurologic disorders. This study examined the relationship among vitamin D status, cognitive performance, mood, and physical performance in older adults. Methods:* A cross-sectional group of 80 participants, 40 with mild Alzheimer disease (AD) and 40 nondemented persons, were selected from a longitudinal study of memory and aging. Cognitive function was assessed using the Short Blessed Test (SBT), Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE), Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR; a higher Sum of Boxes score indicates greater dementia severity), and a factor score from a neuropsychometric battery; mood was assessed using clinician's diagnosis and the depression symptoms inventory. The Physical Performance Test (PPT) was used to measure functional status. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels were measured for all participants. **Results:** The mean vitamin D level in the total sample was 18.58 ng/mL (standard deviation: 7.59); 58% of the participants had abnormally low vitamin D levels defined as less than 20 ng/mL. After adjusting for age, race, gender, and season of vitamin D determination, vitamin D deficiency was associated with presence of an active mood disorder (odds ratio: 11.69, 95% confidence interval: 2.04 - 66.86; Wald $\chi^2 = 7.66$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.022$). Using the same covariates in a linear regression model, vitamin D deficiency was associated with worse performance on the SBT ($F = 5.22$, $df = [2, 77]$, $p = 0.044$) and higher CDR Sum of Box scores ($F = 3.20$, $df = [2, 77]$, $p = 0.047$) in the vitamin D-deficient group. There was no difference in performance on the MMSE, PPT, or factor scores between the vitamin D groups. **Conclusions:** In a cross-section of older adults, vitamin D deficiency was associated with low mood and with impairment on two of four measures of cognitive performance. (Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2006; 14:1032-1040)

Key Words: Vitamin D, mood disorder, cognitive impairment

Received April 18, 2006; revised July 8, 2006; accepted July 14, 2006. From the Department of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics and Nutritional Science (CHW, SJB), Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (CHW, CMR, JCM), the Department of Psychiatry (YIS), the Department of Neurology (YIS, JCM), and the Division of Biostatistics (CMR), Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO. Send correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Consuelo H. Wilkins, Washington University School of Medicine, 4488 Forest Park, Suite 201, St. Louis, MO 63108 e-mail: cwilkins@im.wustl.edu

© 2006 American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry

The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is between 25% and 54% of all adults over age 60 years.¹⁻³ Although the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency may vary by geographic regions and ethnicity,^{4,5} it is prevalent throughout the United States.⁶ Select populations, including women with Alzheimer disease (AD), have an increased prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (74%).⁷ Whether vitamin D deficiency is a cause or consequence of AD is unknown.

Because few persons are screened for vitamin D deficiency, the proportion of individuals with unrecognized vitamin D deficiency potentially is large.⁶ The primary source of vitamin D is derived from cutaneous synthesis after ultraviolet exposure so that nutritious diets may not preclude vitamin D deficiency. Multiple steps in the metabolism of vitamin D are adversely affected by aging. The efficiency of skin conversion of 7-dehydrocholesterol to vitamin D, the hepatic hydroxylation of 25-hydroxyvitamin D, and the tissue response to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D all decrease with age.^{8,9} Many older adults thus may be vulnerable to vitamin D deficiency.

The effects of vitamin D deficiency on bone density are widely accepted.¹⁰⁻¹² Until recently, however, the consequences of vitamin D deficiency unrelated to bone have been less studied. Nonclassic vitamin D-responsive tissues (those not dependent on calcium regulation) are the focus of recent research and include the central nervous system.¹³ There is a growing body of literature to support a role for vitamin D in brain function and development,¹⁴ including quantification of vitamin D receptors in the brain,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ neuroprotection by vitamin D in vitro,¹⁸⁻²⁰ and downregulation of vitamin D receptors in hippocampal cells in AD.²¹ Additionally, treatment with vitamin D for both 8 and 12 months resulted in a higher density of CA1 neurons in the rat hippocampus.²²

For years, the association between vitamin D and mood disorders has been debated. Because seasonal affective disorder (SAD) has been associated with winter months and sunlight deprivation,²³⁻²⁵ vitamin D deficiency has been considered a possible contributor to SAD. Early studies found no association with depression and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D,²⁶ but subsequent studies found correlations between 25-hydroxyvitamin D and SAD and depression.^{27,28} Additionally, vitamin D supplementation was found to be superior to phototherapy in SAD²⁹ and, in a

placebo-controlled trial, vitamin D enhanced positive affect.³⁰ Little is known about whether older adults with vitamin D deficiency are more likely to have depressed mood.

Data also support a role for vitamin D in neuromuscular function. Recent observations have demonstrated an association between vitamin D deficiency, postural instability, and falls.^{31,32} There is a significant correlation between 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration and the occurrence of falls in the elderly.^{33,34} Supplementation with vitamin D in one group of elderly women with vitamin D deficiency resulted in a significant decrease in body sway and fewer falls per subject over one year.³¹ In a study of shorter duration, a 49% decrease in falls was observed after three months of vitamin D treatment without change in independent measures of muscle strength.³² The reduction in falls without improved muscle strength suggests that other mechanisms such as effects on the central nervous system may explain the results.

Because vitamin D deficiency occurs in a large number of older adults and is a treatable condition, it is important to determine if it is associated with cognitive impairment or mood disorders in nonfrail elderly. To explore this association, we assessed the frequency of vitamin D deficiency in community-dwelling, ambulatory older adults with and without mild AD and examined the relationship between vitamin D status, cognition, and mood.

METHODS

Participants

This is a cross-sectional study of older adults participating in studies of cognitive and functional aging at the Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (ADRC) at Washington University. The ADRC recruits cognitively healthy and demented older adult participants from the greater metropolitan St. Louis, MO, area (population: 2.5 million). Participant recruitment occurs through public service announcements (radio, TV, and print media), requests to private physicians and organizations (e.g., the St. Louis, MO, chapter of the Alzheimer Association), and word of mouth. Inclusion criteria for this study were age over 60, ambulatory, able to complete all assess-

ments, and willingness to provide serum. Persons with moderate or severe dementia, history of stroke, renal failure, Parkinson disease, and use of prescription vitamin D supplements or nonprescription vitamin D greater than 800 IU daily were excluded.

Participants who were assessed in the ADRC between September 1999 and October 2001 (N = 271) and who met the inclusion/exclusion criteria for this study were consecutively included until 80 subjects (40 persons with mild AD and 40 nondemented persons) with available serum were obtained. The AD group included 20 persons with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) of 0.5 and 20 with CDR 1. None of the participants were involved in interventional or treatment studies of cognition or mood.

Clinical and Cognitive Assessments

The clinical evaluation included obtaining medical, social, and family history from a reliable informant, usually a spouse or adult child. Information regarding possible cognitive change in comparison with previously obtained levels that was sufficient to interfere with accustomed activities was obtained by a clinician from semistructured interviews with the informant and separately with the participant. Included in the clinical assessment protocol were the items from the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)³⁵ and the Short Blessed Test (SBT),³⁶ although the items were dispersed so the clinician was unaware of the test score. Also included in the assessment protocol is an aphasia battery, a medication inventory, and a depressive features battery.

The clinician used the depressive symptoms inventory, a nine-item administered survey, which has been previously used for diagnosis of depression³⁷ to determine the presence or absence of an active mood disorder. This inventory uses questions based on the nine *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* symptoms for major depression.³⁸ The participant was asked if the following depressive features had occurred for 2 weeks or more in the past year: depressed mood, diminished interest, change in weight or appetite, sleep disturbance, fatigue, psychomotor disturbance, feelings of worthlessness, indecisiveness, and suicidal ideation. The depressive features score was the sum of the endorsed features. A similar score was obtained from the informant who was also asked if these features

had occurred in the participant. Prior studies have found a reliable informant to be important to the diagnosis of depression in demented individuals.^{38,39} Additionally, the clinician determined the presence or absence of a mood disorder and whether it was active or remote based on the clinical interview with the participant and the informant.

Using all information from the clinical assessment protocol but without reference to the participant's psychometric performance (see subsequently), the clinician determined the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) for the participant. The CDR determines the presence or absence of dementia and, when present, rates its severity.⁴⁰ The CDR rates cognitive performance in each of six categories: memory, orientation, judgment and problem-solving, community affairs, home management and hobbies, and personal care. A global CDR of zero indicates no dementia; a global CDR of 0.5 indicates very mild dementia; and global CDR of 1, 2, and 3 indicate mild, moderate, and severe dementia. The CDR Sum of the Boxes score is the summation of the individual scores in each of the six CDR categories (i.e., boxes) and provides a more quantitative measure of cognitive impairment.⁴¹ Possible Sum of Boxes scores range from zero (i.e., the individual scores are zero in all six CDR categories) to 18 (i.e., the individual scores are three in all six CDR categories). A higher global CDR or larger Sum of Boxes score indicates greater dementia severity. Validity and interrater reliability for the CDR have been established.^{42,43}

Two to 4 weeks after the clinical assessment, participants completed a psychometric battery⁴⁴; psychometricians were not informed of the results of the clinical evaluation. The battery includes the Mental Control, Logical Memory, Digit Span Forward and Backward, and Associate Learning subtests from the Wechsler Memory Scale⁴⁵; Information, Block Design, and Digit Symbol subtests from the WAIS⁴⁶; Boston Naming Test⁴⁷; the Benton Visual Retention test Forms C (10-second delay) and D (copy)⁴⁸; Trail Making test Part A⁴⁹; word fluency for S and P⁵⁰; and Crossing-off.⁵¹ As reported previously,⁵² a principal components analysis of these tests in 81 nondemented individuals produced a single-factor solution that accounted for 34% of the variance. Scores on the 14 measures for the present sample were standardized using the means and standard deviations from the previous report⁵² weighted by the factor

loadings from that analysis and averaged to form a composite representing overall performance.

Physical Assessment

After the general physical and neurological examination, the Physical Performance Test (PPT) was administered by a trained research nurse. Scores on the original PPT instrument (developed by Reuben and Siu^{53,54}) correlates with degree of disability, loss of independence, and mortality.^{55,56} We modified the PPT by substituting the chair rise and Progressive Romberg test of standing balance⁵⁶ for the stair-climbing tasks. Performance on these two tasks, which have been included in other modifications of the original PPT,^{55,57} has been associated with self-reported disability, nursing home placement, and mortality.⁵⁶ Specific tasks in our modified PPT are: writing a sentence, simulated eating (i.e., spooning beans into a container), lifting a book, simulated dressing (i.e., putting on and taking off a jacket), picking up a penny from the floor, turning in a complete circle (i.e., steadiness and continuity of steps), walking 50 feet., the chair rise (i.e., sitting in and rising from a chair five times), and the Progressive Romberg test of standing balance (i.e., standing with feet in tandem, semitandem, and side-by-side positions). Most of the tasks in our PPT were scored on a five-point scale. The total PPT score, a simple summation of the individual item scores, is a composite measure of frailty. The maximum (i.e., best) total score was 36 with a decreasing score indicating increasing frailty.

Vitamin D Assessment

Serum was collected at the time of the clinical assessment and PPT. The 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels were determined using a competitive radioimmune protein binding assay (Diasorin, Stillwater, MN). Subjects were divided into three subgroups based on vitamin D level as defined by previously reported data^{58,59}: vitamin D-sufficient (serum level of 25-hydroxyvitamin D of greater than or equal to 20 ng/mL), -insufficient (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D of 10–19.9 ng/mL), and -deficient (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D of less than 10 ng/mL). No other biochemical assessments were performed and vi-

tamin D was assessed on only one occasion. Serum was collected throughout the year and the season of each participant's serum collection was recorded.

Statistical Analysis

Sample size calculations based on a pilot study projected that 40 persons per group were needed to detect a 20% difference in vitamin D levels between cognitively impaired and nonimpaired persons with a power of 80% and alpha of .05. Demographic, clinical, cognitive, and physical data represent data collected at the time of serum collection. Unadjusted differences across the three vitamin D groups in demographic characteristics and clinical and neuropsychologic measures were tested using one-way analysis of variance for quantitative variables. When differences were found, pairwise comparisons were performed using Tukey's honestly significant difference test. Descriptive statistics were expressed as number, percentage, mean, and standard deviation.

Logistic regression models (PROC LOGISTIC, SAS version 9.1 for Windows, Cary, NC) were used to test whether vitamin D status was associated with the likelihood of receiving diagnoses of dementia (CDR 0 versus CDR >0) and of mood disorder, while adjusting for and simultaneously testing the effects of, age, race, sex, and season of vitamin D ascertainment. Preliminary models tested the interaction of vitamin D category with each of the other independent variables. Interactions that were significant in preliminary models were included in the final model.

The adjusted effect of vitamin D on the continuous dependent variables (CDR Sum of Boxes, Short Blessed Test, MMSE, the factor score, and total PPT score) was tested using general linear models (PROC GLM, SAS version 9.1 for Windows). The independent variables were the same as those tested in the logistic regression models and similar to those analyses, the interaction of vitamin D group with each of the other independent variables on the dependent variable was tested in preliminary models.

Informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Human Studies Committee at Washington University.

RESULTS

The sample characteristics at the time of serum collection used for vitamin D determination are shown in Table 1. When the participants are divided into groups by vitamin D status (sufficient, insufficient, and deficient), differences were found in CDR Sum of Boxes, presence of active mood disorder, SBT scores, PPT scores, and age using analysis of variance (Table 2). No difference was found in MMSE, depressive features scores, or factor scores.

In the adjusted analyses (Table 3), vitamin D deficiency was significantly associated with the presence of a mood disorder (Wald $\chi^2 = 7.66$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.022$) such that participants who were vitamin D-deficient (odds ratio [OR]: 11.69; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.04–66.86) and insufficient (OR: 2.54; 95% CI: 0.63–10.51) were more likely to have a mood disorder compared with those with sufficient vitamin D when the effects of age, sex, race, and season were held constant.

Vitamin D category was not predictive of AD when treated as a categorical variable in the logistic regression analyses (Wald $\chi^2 = 2.16$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.339$); however, it was significantly associated with two continuous measures of cognition (Table 4). The main effect of vitamin D category ($F = 3.20$, $df = 2,77$, $p = 0.047$), as well as the interaction of vitamin D category and race ($F = 5.21$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.008$), were found to be related to CDR Sum of Boxes scores in the linear regression model. Overall, adjusted mean Sum of Boxes scores were lower for participants who had sufficient and insufficient vitamin D levels compared with those with deficient levels ($F = 2.17$, $df =$

1,78, $p = 0.033$). There was no significant difference between CDR Sum of Boxes scores for the sufficient and insufficient groups ($F = 0.23$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.820$). The interaction effect was such that CDR Sum of Boxes was higher for the vitamin D-deficient group (adjusted mean: 5.7) compared with the insufficient (adjusted mean: 1.0, $F = 3.22$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.002$) and sufficient (adjusted mean: 2.1, $F = 2.57$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.012$) groups among blacks, but the only significant difference in adjusted means among whites was found for the insufficient and sufficient groups (2.7 versus 1.2, $F = 2.24$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.028$).

Likewise, the overall effect of vitamin D category ($F = 5.22$, $df = 2,77$, $p = 0.008$) along with the interaction of vitamin D and race ($F = 3.31$, $df = 2,77$, $p = 0.0425$), significantly predicted scores on the SBT in the final general linear models. Overall, adjusted mean SBT scores were 11.4, 6.7, and 4.0 for the deficient, insufficient, and sufficient groups, respectively, and differences were found between the deficient and insufficient ($F = 2.06$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.0436$) and between the deficient and sufficient ($F = 3.23$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.002$) groups. For blacks, significant differences occurred between the deficient and insufficient groups (adjusted means: 15.9 versus 5.2, $F = 2.76$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.007$) and between the deficient and sufficient groups (adjusted means: 15.9 versus 4.4, $F = 3.10$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.003$), whereas significant differences occurred between the insufficient and sufficient groups (adjusted means: 8.2 versus 3.6, $F = 2.64$, $df = 1,78$, $p = 0.0104$) for whites.

As a result of concerns that the homogeneity of variances assumption may have been violated as a result of differences in the number of participants in

TABLE 1. Characteristics of the Participants

Characteristics	N (total N = 80)	Percent	
White	62	87.5	
Black	18	22.5	
Females	50	62.5	
Vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency	46	57.5	
Active mood disorder	18	22.5	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range
Age	74.79 years	7.69	60–92
Education	14.44 years	2.99	7–20
25-hydroxyvitamin D	18.58 ng/mL	7.59	3.6–38.5
Short Blessed Test	6.44	6.58	0–28
Mini-Mental State Examination	25.87	3.86	17–30
Depressive symptoms score	2.32	2.26	0–8

TABLE 2. Means by Vitamin D Category

	Sufficient Vitamin D (N = 34) (SD)	Vitamin D Insufficiency (N = 33) (SD)	Vitamin D Deficiency (N = 13) (SD)	F	p
Serum vitamin D	26.07 (5.86)	15.38 (2.74)	7.87 (1.97)	101.151	<0.001
Age in years	76.97 (4.86)	74.01 (9.49)	71.05 (7.32)	3.240	0.045
Blacks, ^a number (%)	7 (20.6%)	6 (18.2%)	5 (38.5%)	1.014	0.317
Females, number (%) ^a	20 (58.8%)	21 (63.6%)	9 (69.2%)	1.744	0.190
Vitamin D obtained in fall ^a or winter, number (%)	13 (38.2%)	19 (57.6%)	6 (46.2%)	0.617	0.606
PPT score	28.06 (3.04)	27.76 (3.31)	25.15 (4.72)	3.512	0.035
Active mood disorder, ^a number (%)	4 (11.8)	7 (21.2)	7 (53.8)	5.252	0.007
CDR Sum of Boxes	1.65 (2.08)	2.36 (2.56)	3.08 (3.07)	3.20	0.047
Depressive features score	2.24 (2.14)	2.26 (2.29)	2.77 (2.62)	0.293	0.747
Short Blessed Test	4.15 (4.75)	7.42 (4.80)	9.92 (8.94)	4.640	0.013
Mini-Mental State Examination	26.12 (3.46)	26.06 (4.11)	24.77 (4.32)	0.632	0.53
Factor score	-1.01 (1.56)	-1.35 (1.60)	-1.59 (1.96)	0.651	0.52

Statistical analyses used one-way analysis of variance between group $df = 2$ and within group $df = 77$ for all comparisons in this table.

^aBlacks, females, fall, and winter vitamin D collection and active mood disorder shown as number and percentage, not mean.

TABLE 3. Logistic Regression Results

	Dementia					Mood Disorder				
	OR	95% CI		χ^2	Probability	OR	95% CI		χ^2	Probability
		Lower	Upper				Lower	Upper		
Vitamin D category	—	—	—	2.16	0.3391	—	—	—	7.66	0.0218
Deficient versus sufficient	2.80	0.64	12.28	—	—	11.69	2.04	66.86	—	—
Insufficient versus sufficient	1.78	0.61	5.19	—	—	2.56	0.63	10.51	—	—
Age in years	1.03	0.96	1.10	0.65	0.4187	0.98	0.90	1.06	0.37	0.5404
Black	1.37	0.41	4.60	0.26	0.6119	0.31	0.06	1.80	1.69	0.1932
Male	1.21	0.45	3.22	0.14	0.7086	0.59	0.16	2.23	0.61	0.4330
Season	—	—	—	5.32	0.1499	—	—	—	3.94	0.2685
Fall versus winter	0.57	0.13	2.42	—	—	5.23	0.64	42.57	—	—
Spring versus winter	2.00	0.53	7.58	—	—	5.91	0.82	42.87	—	—
Summer versus winter	2.77	0.75	10.19	—	—	6.45	0.91	45.70	—	—

Note: Wald χ^2 tests have $df = 3$ for season, 2 for vitamin D category, and 1 for age, race, and gender.

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

TABLE 4. General Linear Model Results

	Sum of Boxes		Short Blessed Test		MMSE		Factor Score		PPT Score	
	F	Probability	F	Probability	F	Probability	F	Probability	F	Probability
Overall model	2.48	0.0135	2.14	0.0324	0.77	0.6311	1.50	0.1752	1.59	0.1440
Vitamin D category	3.20	0.0468	5.22	0.0077	0.64	0.5286	0.66	0.5213	5.12	0.0083
Age in years	1.20	0.2768	1.17	0.2831	0.86	0.3560	2.42	0.1245	4.97	0.0290
Race	2.63	0.1092	1.60	0.2097	0.95	0.3329	2.69	0.1055	0.48	0.4905
Sex	0.49	0.4884	0.03	0.8665	0.25	0.6215	1.93	0.1696	0.07	0.7905
Season	0.96	0.4153	0.38	0.7683	0.95	0.4191	1.23	0.2947	0.24	0.8710
Vitamin D category x race	5.21	0.0078	3.31	0.0425	—	—	—	—	—	—

Results from general linear model, $df = 10,69$ for overall model, 3,76 for season, 2,77 for vitamin D category and vitamin D category x race, and 1,78 for age, race, and gender.

each vitamin D category, a six-level variable reflecting vitamin D category (three levels) and race (two levels) was calculated and the association of this

variable with Sum of Boxes and SBT scores was also tested using a nonparametric method. The Kruskal-Wallis test showed that the vitamin D/race variable

was associated with Sum of Boxes at $p=0.041$ and with SBT at $p=0.058$.

The overall F value was not significant for the models using MMSE, the factor score, and the PPT score as dependent variables, indicating that vitamin D category and the other independent variables in those models had no statistically significant effect on each of these dependent variables when tested simultaneously (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this study of older adults without significant functional disability, vitamin D deficiency was associated with low mood and worse performance on two measures of cognitive function. This study also found that 58% of the participants had vitamin D levels below the sufficient range. This finding is similar to prior reports of the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency older adults^{1,6} but lower than a report by Sato et al. of vitamin D deficiency in persons with AD.⁷

Participants in this study with an active mood disorder had significantly lower vitamin D concentrations compared with those without a mood disorder. Prior studies of vitamin D and mood disorders have been conflicting. Depression and seasonal affective disorders have improved with vitamin D supplementation⁴⁴ and ultraviolet light exposure,^{60,61} but studies have not shown a consistent correlation between vitamin D levels and depression.²⁷ This discrepancy may be in part the result of the use of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D concentrations, the active form of the vitamin, as opposed to 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations. The latter are more reliable as a result of the longer half-life⁶² and fewer fluctuations⁶³ in the serum level. Although we found a significant difference between the vitamin D levels of those with and without disordered mood, we cannot conclude that vitamin D is associated with the diagnosis of major depression. There was no structured clinical assessment to determine a diagnosis of depression and most of the clinicians had not been formally trained in psychiatric diagnosis. The presence of depressive symptoms was ascertained by the Depressive Symptoms Inventory, a measure that

uses the symptoms of major depression from *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* criteria. Additional studies with a more systematic and comprehensive evaluation of depression are needed to investigate the relationship between depression and vitamin D status in older adults.

Additionally, an association between cognitive performance and vitamin D status was found using the SBT, which has been shown to be an effective screening tool for dementia³⁶ and the CDR Sum of Boxes. The SBT is included in the standard assessments for the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease⁶⁴ and may be preferable by some to the MMSE because of its greater sensitivity to detecting impaired recall.⁶⁵ The finding that the CDR Sum of Boxes scores were higher in persons with vitamin D deficiency is important because the Sum of Boxes has recently been shown to be a strong predictor of progression to AD in persons with questionable AD.⁶⁶

Because there was no significant difference in MMSE or factor scores by vitamin D status, the association between worse cognitive performance with lower vitamin D concentrations must be interpreted with caution. Additionally, this was a cross-sectional study so we were unable to determine whether vitamin D levels are fluctuating. An additional limitation of this study is the large number of hypotheses tested given the relatively small sample size. This increases the likelihood of type I error so results should be interpreted with caution. Further studies, including longitudinal evaluations of vitamin D in a larger sample size, are necessary to more fully investigate the possible association between vitamin D status and cognitive function.

This study provides additional support for the hypothesis that vitamin D deficiency is associated with affective and cognitive function in older adults. Vitamin D deficiency is common and often unrecognized and yet may be an important factor contributing to unsuccessful aging. Given that many older adults often have coexisting depression and cognitive impairment, it is important to identify potential contributors that may overlap with these disorders. The association between vitamin D status and mood disorder in this cohort of community-dwelling older adults warrants further study. Additional studies

with larger samples and more systematic assessment of mood are needed. Whether vitamin D supplementation should be considered as additional therapy for this population also needs further investigation.

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health: K12 HD01459 (CHW), P01

AG03991 (JCM), P50 AG05681 (JCM); and the Alan A. and Edith L. Wolff Charitable Trust (CHW/JCM).

We thank Dr. Martha Storandt for providing the cognitive assessment data. We are also indebted to the Clinical and Genetics cores of the Alzheimer's Disease Research Center for providing the diagnostic data and serum used in this report.

References

- Gloth FM, Gundberg CM, Hollis BW, et al: Vitamin D deficiency in homebound elderly persons. *JAMA* 1995; 274:1683-1686
- Goldray D, Mizrahi-Sasson E, Merdler C, et al: Vitamin D deficiency in elderly patients in a general hospital. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1989; 37:589-592
- McKenna MJ: Differences in vitamin D status between countries in young adults and the elderly. *Am J Med* 1992; 93:69-77
- Malabanan A, Veronikis IE, Holick MF: Redefining vitamin D insufficiency. *Lancet* 1998; 351:805-806
- Sherman SS, Hollis BW, Tobin JD: Vitamin D status and related parameters in a healthy population: the effects of age, sex, and season. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1990; 71:405-413
- Thomas MK, Lloyd-Jones DM, Thadhani RI, et al: Hypovitaminosis D in medical inpatients. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 338: 777-83
- Sato Y: High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and reduced bone mass in elderly women with Alzheimer's disease. *Bone* 1998; 23:555-557
- Holick MF, Matsuoka LY, Wortsman J: Age, vitamin D, and solar ultraviolet. *Lancet* 1989; 4:1104-1105
- Parfitt AM, Chir B, Gallagher JC, et al: Vitamin D and bone health in the elderly. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1982; 36:1014-1031
- Reichel H, Koeffler H, Norman AW: The role of vitamin D endocrine system in health and disease. *N Engl J Med* 1989; 320:980-991
- Holick MF: Vitamin D the underappreciated D-lightful hormone that is important for skeletal and cellular health. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2002; 9:87-98
- Lips P: Vitamin D deficiency and secondary hyperparathyroidism in the elderly: consequences for bone loss and fractures and therapeutic implications. *Endocr Rev* 2001; 22:477-501
- Brown AJ, Dusso A, Slatopolsky E: Vitamin D. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 277:F157-175
- Garcion E, Wion-Barbot N, Wion D: New clues about vitamin D functions in the nervous system. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2002; 13:100-105
- Eyles DW, Smith S, Kinobe R, et al: Distribution of the vitamin D receptor and 1 α -hydroxylase in human brain. *J Chem Neuroanat* 2005; 29:21-30
- Stumpf WE, Sar M, Clark SA, et al: Brain target sites for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃. *Science* 1982; 215:1403-1405
- Langub MC, Herman JP, Malluche HH, et al: Evidence of functional vitamin D receptors in rat hippocampus. *Neuroscience* 2001; 104:49-56
- Neveu I, Naveilhan P, Jehan F, et al: 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ regulates the synthesis of nerve growth factor in primary cultures of glial cells. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 1994; 24:70-76
- Cornet A, Baudet C, Neveu I, et al: 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ regulates the expression of VDR and NGF gene in Schwann cells in vitro. *J Neurosci Res* 1998; 53:742-746
- Saporito MS, Brown ER, Hartpence KC, et al: Chronic 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃-mediated induction of nerve growth factor mRNA and protein in L929 fibroblasts and in adult rat brain. *Brain Res* 1994; 633:189-196
- Brewer LD, Thibault V, Chen KC, et al: Vitamin D hormone confers neuroprotection in parallel with downregulation of L-type calcium channel expression in hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci* 2001; 21:98-108
- Landfield PW, Cadwallader-Neal L: Long-term treatment with calcitriol (1,25 (OH)₂ vit D₃) retards a biomarker of hippocampal aging in rates. *Neurobiol Aging* 1998; 19:469-477
- Rosenthal NE, Sack DA, Carpenter CJ, et al: Antidepressant effects of light in seasonal affective disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 1985; 142:163-170
- Cole RJ, Kripke DF, Wisbey J, et al: Seasonal variation in human illumination exposure at two different latitudes. *J Biol Rhythms* 1995; 10:324-334
- Spoont MR, Depue RA, Krauss SS: Dimensional measurement of seasonal variation in mood and behavior. *Psychiatry Res* 1991; 39:269-284
- Oren DA, Schulkin J, Rosenthal NE: 1,25 (OH)₂ vitamin D₃ levels in seasonal affective disorder: effects of light. *Psychopharmacology* 1994; 116:515-516
- Schneider B, Weber B, Frensch A, et al: Vitamin D in schizophrenia, major depression and alcoholism. *J Neural Transm* 2000; 107:839-842
- Kenny AM, Biskup B, Robbins B, et al: Effects of vitamin D supplementation on strength, physical function, and health perception in older, community-dwelling men. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003; 51:1762-1767
- Gloth FM 3rd, Alam W, Hollis B: Vitamin D vs broad spectrum phototherapy in the treatment of seasonal affective disorder. *J Nutr Health Aging* 1999; 3:5-7
- Lansdowne ATG, Provost SC: Vitamin D₃ enhances mood in healthy subjects during winter. *Psychopharmacology* 1998; 135: 319-323
- Pfeifer M, Begerow B, Minne HW, et al: Effects of a short-term vitamin D and calcium supplementation on body sway and secondary hyperparathyroidism in elderly women. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000; 15:1113-1118
- Bischoff HA, Staehelin HB, Dick W, et al: Effects of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls: a randomized controlled trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2003; 18:343-351
- Mowe M, Haug E, Bohmer T: Low serum calcitriol concentration in older adults with reduced muscular function. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999; 47:220-226
- Stein MS, Wark JD, Scherer SC, et al: Falls relate to vitamin D and parathyroid hormone in an Australian nursing home and hostel. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999; 47:1195-1201
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinicians. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975; 12:189-198
- Katzman R, Brown T, Fuld P, et al: Validation of a short orienta-

- tion-memory-concentration test of cognitive impairment. *Am J Psychiatry* 1983; 140:734-739 .
37. Powlishta KK, Storandt M, Mandernach TA, et al: Absence of effect of depression on cognitive performance in early-stage Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2004; 61:1265-1268
38. Rubin EH, Veiel LL, Kinscherf DA, et al: Clinically significant depressive symptoms and very mild to mild dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2001; 16:694-701
39. Burke WJ, Roccaforte WH, Wengel SP, et al: Disagreement in the reporting of depressive symptoms between patients with dementia of the Alzheimer type and their collateral sources. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1998; 6:308-319
40. Morris JC: The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* 1993; 43:2412-2414
41. Berg L, Miller JP, Baty J, et al: Mild senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. 4. Evaluation of intervention. *Ann Neurol* 1992; 31:242-249
42. Morris JC, McKeel D, Fulling K, et al: Validation of clinical diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1988; 24: 17-22
43. Burke WJ, Miller JP, Rubin EH, et al: Reliability of the Washington University clinical dementia rating. *Arch Neurol* 1988; 45:31-32
44. Storandt M, Hill RD: Very mild senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. II. Psychometric test performance. *Arch Neurol* 1989; 46: 383-386
45. Wechsler D, Stone CP: Manual: Wechsler Memory Scale. New York, Psychological Corporation, 1973
46. Wechsler D: Manual: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. New York, Psychological Corporation, 1955
47. Goodglass H, Kaplan E: Boston Naming Test Scoring Booklet. Philadelphia, Lea & Febiger Psychological Corp, 1983
48. Benton AL: The Revised Visual Retention Test: Clinical and Experimental Applications. New York, Psychological Corporation, 1963
49. Armitage SG: An analysis of certain psychological tests used in the evaluation of brain injury. *Psychological Monographs* 1946; 60: 1-48
50. Thurstone LL, Thurstone LG: Examiner Manual for the SRA Primary Mental Abilities Test. Chicago, Science Research Associates, 1949
51. Botwinick J, Storandt M: Speed functions, vocabulary ability, and age. *Percept Mot Skills* 1973; 36:1123-1128
52. Rubin EH, Storandt M, Miller JP, et al: A prospective study of cognitive function and onset of dementia in cognitively healthy elders. *Arch Neurol* 1998; 55:395-401
53. Reuben DB, Siu AL: An objective measure of physical function of elderly outpatients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1990; 38:1105-1112
54. Reuben DB, Siu AL, Kimpau S: The predictive validity of self-report and performance-based measures of function and health. *J Gerontol Med Sci* 1992; 47:M106-M110
55. Binder EF, Storandt M, Birge SJ: The relation between psychometric test performance and physical performance in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1999; 54A:M428-M432
56. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al: A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1994; 49:M85-M94
57. Rossiter-Fornoff JE, Wolf SL, Wolfson LI, et al: A cross-sectional validation study of the FICSIT common data base static balance measures. Frailty and Injuries: cooperative studies of intervention techniques. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1995; 50:M291-M297
58. Peacock M, Hordon L: Femoral fracture: the role of vitamin D, in *Clinical Disorders of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*. Edited by Kleerekoper M, Krane SM. New York, Mary Ann Liebert, 1989, pp 265-271
59. Clemens TL: Vitamin D nutrition and metabolism in aging and osteoporosis, in *Clinical Disorders of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*. Edited by Kleerekoper M, Krane SM. New York, Mary Ann Liebert, 1989, pp 273-285
60. Eastman CI, Young MA, Fogg LF, et al: Bright light treatment of winter depression: a placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998; 55:883-889
61. Sumaya IC, Rienzi BM, Deegan JF et al: Bright light treatment decreases depression in institutionalized older adults: a placebo-controlled crossover study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001; 56:M356-M360
62. Clemens TL, Zhou X, Myles M, et al: Serum vitamin D2 and vitamin D3 metabolite concentrations and absorption of vitamin D2 in elderly subjects. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1986; 63:656-660
63. Zerwekh JE: The measurement of vitamin D: analytical aspects. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2004; 41:272-281
64. Morris JC, Heyman A, Mohs RC, et al: The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part I. Clinical and neuropsychological assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1989; 39:1159-1165
65. Fillenbaum GG, Heyman A, Wilkinson WE, et al: Comparison of two screening tests in Alzheimer's disease; the correlation and reliability of the Mini-Mental State Examination and the modified Blessed test. *Arch Neurol* 1987; 44:924-927
66. Lee DY, Youn JC, Choo IH, et al: Combination of clinical and neuropsychologic information as a better predictor of the progression to Alzheimer disease in questionable dementia individuals. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006; 14:130-138